

# **Legal Profession Admission Board**

**September 2022**

**Conveyancing**

**Examiner's comments**

---

## **General observations**

1. As in past examinations, all topics in the course were covered by lectures and the textbook prescribed for Conveyancing. Examination paper covered a wide variety of transactional issues in Conveyancing.
2. It was the expectation of the examiner that candidates would be conversant with the commentary and lecture presentations on Conveyancing. The Sale of Land in NSW was the primary textbook set for the students.
3. 56 candidates sat the examination. Final marks were in the range 50% to 83%. Eight candidates were awarded a Pass Distinction grade, 29 candidates were awarded a Pass Merit grade and eighteen candidates were awarded a Pass grade. No student failed the examination.
4. As the examination was a confidential examination, these comments cannot identify the specific issues in the questions.

## **Questions**

The examination comprised five questions of equal value and students were required to answer four questions.

All five questions were legal issues calling on students to apply the key principles of conveyancing to the problem questions and in some cases, provide advice as a practitioner acting for a vendor and purchaser.

One question was a problem solving involving a range of issues to canvass.

## **Exam self-evaluation - Take-aways for students**

Students are encouraged to consider the following points:

- Did I approach the open-book format in an honest and appropriate way?
  - Are the responses my own work?
  - Did I manage the time well?
  - Did I answer all required questions?
  - Did I address each point in each question?
  - Did I acquire enough knowledge in the course and considered it sufficiently prior to exam to be able to focus on critical thinking of each issue while responding to exam questions?
  - Did I focus on analysis, synthesis and evaluation rather than restatement of facts and information presented in the lectures and course materials?
  - Did I identify and apply the right legal principles?
  - Did I offer sufficient support to each argument and cite correct authorities?
  - Did I reach a conclusion on each issue identified and at the end of each response?
  - Where was my knowledge of this subject deficient?
-